

POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK — ODDZIAŁ W KRAKOWIE
KOMISJA ORIENTALISTYCZNA

STUDIA ANDREAE ZABORSKI
DEDICATA

FOLIA ORIENTALIA

VOL. XLIX

2012



VIRO ERUDITISSIMO
ANDREAE ZABORSKI
PROFESSORI ILLUSTRISSIMO
UNIVERSITATIS IAGELLONICAE CRACOVIENSIS
LINGUARUM SEMITICARUM AFRICANARUMQUE
NOSTRAE ET ANTIQUAE AETATIS PERITO
ORE MULTARUM GENTIUM PERFECTE LOQUENTI
PRAECEPTORI ARTIS INTERPRETANDAE OPTIMO
AMICO COLLEGAE MAGISTRO
HAEC STUDIA DEDICAMUS
ET OMNIA FAUSTA GRATULAMUR

Tabula Gratulatoria

Mahmut **Agbaht**, Universität Ankara
Werner **Arnold**, Universität Heidelberg
Sergio **Baldi**, Università degli Studi di Napoli “L’Orientale”
Jerzy **Bañcerowski**, Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznań
Giorgio **Banti**, Roma
Anna **Belova**, Institut des Études Orientales de RAN, Moscou
Václav **Blažek**, Příbram
Francis **Breyer**, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn
Salem **Chaker**, Université d’Aix-Marseille
Guram **Chikovani**, Free University of Tbilisi
Guido **Cifoletti**, Università di Udine
Riccardo **Contini**, University of Naples, L’Orientale
Federico **Corriente**, Zaragoza
Norbert **Cyffer**, Universität Wien
Annalisa **Dentesano**, Università degli Studi di Udine
Henryk **Drawnel**, Lublin
Jean-Charles **Ducène**, Université libre de Bruxelles
Lutz **Edzard**, University of Oslo
Ignacio **Ferrando**, University of Cádiz
Fabio **Gasparini**, University of Turin
Peter **Gottschligg**, Giesbühl
Gene **Gragg**, Oriental Institute, Chicago
Jacques **Grand’Henry**, Université catholique de Louvain
George **Grigore**, Université de Bucarest
Szymon **Grzelak**, Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznań
Jerzy **Hauzinski**, Pomeranian University of Słupsk
John **Huehnergard**, University of Texas, Austin
Holger **Gzella**, Universiteit Leiden
Olga **Kapeliuk**, Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Aharon Geva **Kleinberger**, University of Haifa
Michael **Knüppel**, Vellmar
Krzysztof **Kościelniak**, John Paul University of Kraków
Maarten **Kossmann**, Universiteit Leiden
Bert **Kouwenberg**, Universiteit Leiden
Anna **Krasnowolska**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Manfred **Kropp**, Johannes Gutenberg – Universität Mainz
Pierre **Larcher**, Université d’Aix-Marseille
Aharon **Layish**, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Jérôme **Lentin**, Marseille
Alicja **Malecka**, Kraków
Paolo **Marassini**, Istituto di Linguistica, Firenze
Tomasz **Marszewski**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Barbara **Mękarska**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Marcin **Michalski**, Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznań
Juan Pedro **Monferer-Sala**, University of Cordova
Didier **Morin**, CNRS-LLACAN, France
Piotr **Muchowski**, Adam Mickiewicz University of Poznań
Walter W. **Müller**, Philipps-Universität Marburg
Franz-Christian **Muth**, Mainz
Kamal **Nait-Zerrad**, Centre d'Études Berbères, INALCO, Paris
Jacobus A. **Naudé**, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa
Jaroslav **Oliverius**, Praha
Gregorio del **Olmo Lete**, Universitat de Barcelona (I.P.O.A.)
Jonathan **Owens**, Universität Bayreuth
Nina **Pawlak**, University of Warsaw
Fabrizio A. **Pennacchietti**, Università di Torino
Przemysław **Piekarski**, Kraków
Marek **Piela**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Stanisław **Pilaszewicz**, University of Warsaw
Andrzej **Pisowicz**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Tomasz **Polański**, Jan Kochanowski University of Kielce
Karl-G. **Prasse**, Værløse
Jadwiga **Pstrusińska**, University of Warsaw
Chris H. **Reintges**, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris
Gabriel **Rosenbaum**, Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Helmut **Satzinger**, Universität Wien
Ewa **Siemienieć-Golaś**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Joachim **Śliwa**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Czesław **Srzednicki**, Kraków
Marek **Stachowski**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Peter **Stein**, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
Reinhard **Stempel**, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU
Lidia **Sudyka**, Jagiellonian University, Kraków
Gábor **Takács**, Szekesfehervar
Shabo **Talay**, Bergen
Mauro **Tosco**, University of Turin
Henry **Tourneux**, Antony, France
Rainer **Voigt**, Berlin
Stephan **Weninger**, Philips Universität, Marburg
Michael **Zach**, Universität Wien
Petr **Zemanek**, Univerzita Karlova, Praha
Tamar **Zevi**, Indirect Responses in Biblical Hebrew

Editorial Board:

Jerzy Chmiel, Anna Krasnowolska, Tomasz Polański, Ewa Siemieniec-Gołaś,
Lidia Sudyka, Joachim Śliwa

Editor of the Volume:

Tomasz Polański

International Advisory Board:

Werner Arnold (University of Heidelberg)
Salem Chaker (IREMAM, Université de Provence, Aix-en-Provence)
Bert Fragner (Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien)
John Huehnergard (University of Texas, Austin)
Carina Jahani (University of Uppsala)
Geoffrey Khan (University of Cambridge)
Manfred Kropp (University of Mainz)
Mehmet Ölmez (Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi, Istanbul)
Karin Preisendanz (University of Vienna)
Stephan Procházka (University of Vienna)
Thomas Schneider (University of British Columbia, Vancouver)
Harry Stroomer (University of Leiden)

Desktop Publisher:

Ewa Kozioł

*

© *Copyright for texts: the Authors*

© *Copyright for this edition: Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow 2012*

The edition of this volume has been financed by the Polish Academy of Sciences

*

This journal can be either bought or exchanged for scholarly publications.
All correspondence, including orders and exchange proposals, should be
addressed to „Folia Orientalia”

Polish Academy of Sciences
ul. św. Jana 28, 31-018 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: andrzej.zaborski@uj.edu.pl

ISSN 0015-5675

CONTENTS

Tomasz POLAŃSKI	
Introduction	11
Prof. dr hab. Andrzej ZABORSKI	
Selected bibliography 1962–2012	13

Articles

Mahmut AGBAHT, Werner ARNOLD	
Der Kluge und der Narr.	25
Sergio BALDI	
Arabic Loans in East African Languages through Swahili: A Survey	37
Jerzy BAŃCZEROWSKI, Szymon GRZELAK	
Translational quandaries and suggestions.	53
Anna BELOVA	
Vestiges du vocalisme radical en sémitique	69
Václav BLAŽEK	
A little moon-light on Afroasiatic “night”	77
Francis BREYER	
Das Wort für »König« im aksumitischen Altäthiopisch Spurensuche in einem gesprochen - und geschriebensprachlich multilingualen Areal	87
Salem CHAKER	
Berbère et AFRO-ASIATIQUE: Réflexions du berbérisant	101
Guram CHIKOVANI	
Arabic Dialects of Central Asia: Internal Development Tendencies of Arabic Language Material	121
Guido CIFOLETTI	
Due nuovi testi in lingua franca dalla comunità ebreo-livornese	129

Contents

Federico CORRIENTE Final <i>ka(-mà)</i> , a Shared Innovation of (Traditional) South Semitic	141
Annalisa DENTESANO Analisi retorica della <i>Prima Clementis</i>	147
Jean-Charles DUCÈNE Un emprunt gotique en arabe maghrébin et en berbère: <i>*spora, ušbur, šabīr</i> « éperon »	159
Lutz EDZARD Genetische, typologische und religionsvermittelte Sprachverwandtschaft: das Arabische in einer vergleichenden linguistischen Perspektive.	165
Ignacio FERRANDO Enlarging Arabic lexicon by non concatenative procedures. The case for <i>fašlala</i> pattern in Modern Arabic	179
Fabio GASPARINI, Mauro TOSCO Building a “new” Semitic language: lexical enrichment in modern Assyrian and its problems	191
Jacques GRAND’HENRY Un contrat arabe d’échange de la propriété de tours d’irrigation dans la région de Palerme (Sicile) en 526 H/1131 (édition arabe révisée, première traduction et commentaires linguistiques)	203
George GRIGORE Une construction lexicale rare – $C_1aC_2aC_3C_2aC_3$ – présente dans un conte populaire bagdadien	219
Holger GZELLA Some General Remarks on Interactions between Aspect, Modality, and Evidentiality in Biblical Hebrew	225
Jerzy HAUZIŃSKI On the titles of the Ismā’īlī-Nizārīs leaders in the Alamūt period	233
Michael KNÜPPEL Einige Gedanken zu uigurisch <i>ar böri</i> „Hyäne”.	241
Maarten KOSSMANN Some new etymologies for glottal-stop initial Zenaga Berber words.	245
Manfred KROPP The good old law. Literacy and orality in the practice of Ethiopian royal law.	253
Pierre LARCHER La forme V TAFĀ “ALA de l’arabe classique vue par le grammairien rađī al-dīn al-astarābādī	269

Contents

Aharon LAYISH	
The sudanese mahdī's legal methodology as an instrument of accommodation	283
Marcin MICHALSKI	
On the so-called compound tenses in modern standard arabic	295
Juan Pedro MONFERRER-SALA	
Arabic renderings of νόμος and νομικός in an eleventh century Greek-Arabic Lectionary	309
Didier MORIN	
Vowel "Disappearance" in Beja	319
Piotr MUCHOWSKI	
Notes on two Karaite texts edited by Ananiasz Zajączkowski	327
Jacobus A. NAUDÉ	
Metatexts and the regulation of reader responses in the translation of sacred texts . .	339
Gregorio del OLMO LETE	
Kirta y David: dos reyes en apuros	357
Nina PAWLAK	
Some common features across language family borders in West Africa	365
Fabrizio A. PENNACCHIETTI	
Fili e figure: storie parallele di parole in indoeuropeo e in semitico	381
Stanisław PIŁASZEWICZ	
Professor Bogumił Witalis Andrzejewski: His Life, Scientific Activity, and Poetry	391
Andrzej PISOWICZ	
Tematyka ormiańska w <i>Dylematach Kaukaskich</i>	401
Tomasz POLAŃSKI	
Icons of the Virgin Mary in the Early Greek and Coptic Texts	413
Chris H. REINTGES	
The morpho-syntax of alternating complementizers in Coptic relative clause constructions	425
Gabriel M. ROSENBAUM	
Oaths in Modern Spoken Egyptian Judeo-Arabic with a Comparison to Oaths Taken by Christians and Muslims	449
Helmut SATZINGER	
On Egyptian Participles and Nomina Agentis	467
Ewa SIEMIENIEC-GOŁAŚ	
Turkish Anthroponyms in Old Polish Sources	477

Contents

Joachim ŚLIWA	
The Reverend Joseph-Pierre-Louis David (1882-1955). His lectures on ancient Egypt and courses on Coptic language at the Cracow University	485
Marek STACHOWSKI	
Some features of the vowel adaptation of Arabic loan-words (along with a few remarks on their consonants) in an Ottoman Turkish dictionary by Arcangelo Carradori (1650)	491
Peter STEIN	
Sabaica – Aramaica (1)	503
Reinhard STEMPEL	
The Injunctive in Semitic	523
Gábor TAKÁCS	
Some Egyptian etymologies III: The new generation.	529
Shabo TALAY	
Anmerkungen zum Vokalsystem des arabischen Dialekts von Sine, Provinz Diyarbakir – Osttürkei	545
Tamar ZEWI	
Indirect Responses in Biblical Hebrew	557

INTRODUCTION

Andrzej Zaborski, *Dr.phil.habil.*, Professor Ordinarius of the Jagiellonian University of Kraków, where he holds the Chair of Afro-Asiatic Linguistics, belongs to a narrow group of the best specialists in the Semitic-African comparative linguistics division of contemporary scholarship. Professor Zaborski has lectured at many universities, including the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and the University of Vienna. His academic achievement can be illustrated in the shortest possible way by the list of his handbooks and monographs which open up a view onto the panorama of his academic research: *The Verb in Cushitic* (1975), *Dialekt egipski języka arabskiego* (The Egyptian Dialect of Arabic) (1982), *Morphology of the Nominal Plural in Cushitic Language* (1986), *Handbook of the Oromo Language* (1990), *Wspaniały świat Oceanu Indyjskiego* (The Wonderful World of the Indian Ocean) (1998), his translation and commentary to the writings of Sulayman the Merchant, Abu Zaid as-Silafi and Buzurg Ibn Šahriyar. In recent years Professor Zaborski also edited *Oriental Languages in Translation*, a series of conference volumes (2002, 2005, 2008). Professor Zaborski's linguistic skills include Arabic with the Egyptian and Palestinian dialects, Modern Hebrew, the Cushitic languages Oromo and Beja, Amharic, Berber and Swahili. He has also conducted classes of the ancient Semitic and African languages: Akkadian, Classical Hebrew, Aramaic, Coptic, Syriac, medieval Ethiopian. His command of the modern Indo-European languages, English, German and French is admirable. His understanding of linguistic structures is perfect. It cannot be put otherwise: his way of describing linguistic phenomena, morphological, syntactic and phraseological structures reveals his faultless and thorough understanding of language, an understanding which reaches the deepest level of meaning. Professor Zaborski also specializes in translation. The theory and practice of translation he has been passing on to his students is strikingly modern, based on clear principles, and marked by a perfect understanding of a source language, and the art of its smooth, exact, idiomatic reflection in a translation language. His metalanguage, the language of description of the linguistic phenomena, is impressively rich, exact and informative.

As Head of the Orientalist Committee of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Kraków, Professor Zaborski developed it into an institution which hosted

Introduction

orientalists, linguists and archaeologists from all over the world. Under his supervision the Orientalist Commission became one of the most interesting and inspiring places for the exchange of ideas and international scholarship in Kraków. The “Folia Orientalia” illustrate another stream of his academic activities. The “Folia Orientalia” were founded by Professor Tadeusz Lewicki, a prominent Polish Arabist and the preceptor of Professor Zaborski. The history of this professional Orientalist periodical has come full circle. Professor Zaborski is now its Chief Editor.

The role played by Professor Zaborski in Polish Oriental studies, including *Altorientalistik*, cannot be overestimated. Polish scholarship has never developed large, influential Orientalist institutions equipped with spacious and well-funded libraries, like those in France, the United Kingdom, Germany, Holland, Italy or Austria, which have been carrying out and inspiring research for the past three centuries. In Poland we have never had well-established institutes of Assyriology, Egyptology or ancient Semitic Studies provided with comprehensive Orientalist libraries. However, as regards ancient Oriental studies, Professor Zaborski with his wide grasp of Semitic-African learning together with Joachim Śliwa, Professor of Oriental Archaeology at the Jagiellonian University, actually established such an institution in Kraków. In this way without a colonial empire and in a world burdened with the long lasting syndrome of political and consequently of cultural isolation the young generation of Polish students of the 1970ties and 80ties had a unique opportunity to specialize in ancient Oriental studies at the highest European standards. In the world of cultural and political clichés promoted by the contemporary mass culture and mass media Professor Zaborski’s scholarship is a source of true, unbiased and highly expert knowledge about Africa and Western Asia. It is proof of how much can be achieved in the humanities by individuals, their passion for research, their energy and determination. Professor Zaborski’s achievement brings hope that true erudition united with an independent intellectual attitude is still possible.

To conclude let me to strike a more personal note. This editor will never forget Professor Zaborski’s classes of ancient Oriental languages and his impressive and learned interpretations of the Hebrew *Song of Songs*, the Coptic apocryphal writings of the *Life of Joseph the Carpenter* and the *Gospel of Saint Thomas*, and his class of Syriac with the *Teachings of Addai* and the hymns of Saint Ephraim.

The volume which you have in your hands is an academic accomplishment, created by all of us, friends, colleagues, collaborators and students of Professor Zaborski and in his honour.

Tomasz Polański

on behalf of the Orientalist Committee
of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Kraków

Fabio Gasparini, Mauro Tosco
University of Turin

BUILDING A “NEW” SEMITIC LANGUAGE: LEXICAL ENRICHMENT IN MODERN ASSYRIAN AND ITS PROBLEMS

Abstract

This paper focuses on contemporary linguistic developments in that variety of Neo-Aramaic commonly called Modern Assyrian. Although widely used as an oral medium in a variety of domains belonging to everyday life, as well as in modern and traditional literature (both as an oral and a written medium), it is lagging behind in the expression of many modern concepts (such as in technology, politics, etc.). The lexical and phraseological problems faced by the community will be made evident through the analysis of multilingual (with Arabic and/or English alongside Modern Assyrian) political documents from contemporary Iraq.

1. Modern Assyrian: from endangerment to the creation of an *Ausbau* language¹

All the Modern Aramaic varieties are certainly endangered, many of them probably extinct or nearly so. The gradual, centuries-long decline and language shift dramatically increased momentum in the last hundred years, with the physical massacre and displacement of many speakers of Northeastern Neo-Aramaic (henceforth: NENA): those who survived the First World War massacres – the *Qaṭlā d-‘amā sūrayā*, the Assyrian Genocide, in which from 500 to 750 thousand Assyrians found their death at the hands of Turks and Kurds and many others went into exile (Khosoreva 2007) – had to face over the years the endless persecution of the Turkish government against minorities. The situation is not much better in Iraq, where the dramatic political struggles that beleaguered

¹ According to Italian academic regulations, we declare that Fabio Gasparini is the author of sections 1 (“Modern Assyrian...”) and 2 (“*Ausbau* and Modern Assyrian: the data”), and Mauro Tosco is the author of section 3 (“Conclusions”) of the present article.

this country pushed many speakers to emigration. Aramaic is better preserved among the rural population in the North, where adherents of the Church of the East (the “Assyrians”) withstood the Arabization process that heavily involved Chaldeans (Savà and Tosco 2006). As remarked by Owens (2007), it is difficult in such a situation to apply Krauss’ (2007) indicators of language vitality and endangerment (especially the most crucial one, intergenerational language transmission) due to sheer lack of updated, reliable data. Certainly, and notwithstanding the recent development in mother-tongue education which will be detailed below, illiteracy is widespread and native speakers tend to codeswitch with Arabic (Odisho 2003-2004).

Thus, if we apply these criteria to NENA, we see that apart from Barzani Jewish Neo-Aramaic – a by now extinct Iraqi Jewish dialect – and the definitely endangered Bohtan Neo-Aramaic – a dialect spoken in Georgia by a population originally coming from the Turkish Province of Şırnak, whose 1000 speakers are all over 60 years of age (while younger generations tend to use Georgian or Russian) no other NENA varieties are listed as endangered languages in the UNESCO *Atlas of the World’s Languages in Danger* (Moseley 2010).

Language planning may try to “reverse language shift” (Fishman 1991) and forestall endangerment and imminent language loss through the creation of an official, standardized and unified variety. In this sense, there is an inescapable link (cf. Tosco 2011) between endangerment and an *Ausbausprache*, a “language by development” which has been deliberately reshaped in order to suit most (in principle, *all*) domains of modern communication (Kloss 1967). *Ausbauization* (cf. Tosco 2008) – the process of creation of an *Ausbau* language – is first and foremost concerned with the written domains, because within the Western culture and its nowadays global sphere of influence any conscious effort to language reshaping has to concentrate mainly on its written form. In a world of global interactions, virtually every variety is exposed to contact and influence coming from more widespread or “stronger” languages, and, for identity reasons, they may come to develop strategies to prevent the dominant identity to overwhelm their own (cf. Parpola 2004). They may opt for either conservative or innovative strategies. They may, e.g., resort to an old, even sacred language and try to revitalize and lexically enrich it, instead of developing their own vernacular. Within the Aramaic world, this is being done by members of the Syriac Orthodox church, where Classical Syriac (called *leshono khtobonoyo* – the “written language”) is somehow used as a spoken medium. As remarked by Kiraz (2011), it is probably the absence of a literary variety of their own which prompted these Modern Aramaic speakers to resort to Classical Syriac.

A literary variety of the vernacular has developed instead more to the east among speakers of NENA, who are mostly adherents of the Chaldean Church and of the Church of the East (traditionally – and mistakenly – called “Nestorians”) – backed by a sizeable number of Jews. This unique development

of a Modern Aramaic written language goes back at least to the 17th century and the rise of the so-called School of Alqosh (Murre-van den Berg 1998), but received a decisive thrust only much later with the *koiné* developed towards the end of the 19th century in Urmia by Western missionaries, followed in its turn by the short-lived literary language used in the Soviet Union between the ‘20s and ‘30s of the past century. Among the many names used in literature to refer to this variety (reflecting the bewildering confusion of denominations for the spoken varieties of Modern Aramaic, on which cf. Heinrichs 1993), we stick to *Modern Assyrian*, probably the most common label.

Recently, changing cultural and political conditions have favoured a resurgence in Northern Iraq: starting in the early 1990s and following the First Gulf War, Modern Aramaic received a modicum of official recognition in the largely autonomous Kurdish areas. As aptly put by Odisho (2011: 57), ‘there are strong indications that yet another revitalization has been in the making since early 1990s’ (Odisho 2011: 57).

Backed by the *Assyrian Democratic Movement*, Modern Aramaic entered the school system, first in primary schools and later in higher education levels. As detailed in Odisho (2011), Modern Assyrian is used either as a medium of instruction (presumably, especially in primary schools) or as a subject in schools operating in Kurdish.

The results are impressive. All in all, Odisho (2011: 62) puts forward a figure of approximately 7,000 for the students currently enrolled in schools where Modern Aramaic is either the medium of instruction or a subject, and to over 10,000 the number of students who have gone through the new curriculum. What language is taught and used is not overtly clear: Odisho (2011) consistently speaks in his article of “Syriac,” although he later qualifies the variety used in school as ‘Standard Modern Aramaic enriched with more old Aramaic where necessary’ (Odisho 2011: 64). The label “Modern Assyrian” (or “Assyrian” *tout court*), so frequent in nationalist writings, is avoided altogether.²

The same terminological ambiguity between the classical and the modern “vernacular” language(s) looms large in modern-day Iraq: thus, Article 4.1 of the Iraqi constitution, after declaring Arabic and Kurdish the official languages of the country, recognizes ‘[T]he right of Iraqis to educate their children in their mother tongue, such as Turkmen, Syriac, and Armenian,’ and in article 4.4 establishes that ‘[T]he Turkomen [*sic*] language and the Syriac language are two other official languages in the administrative units in which they constitute density of population’ (http://www.uniraq.org/documents/iraqi_constitution.pdf).

² The label “Assyrian” seems to be favored by adherents of the Church of the East (the so-called Nestorians) and the more radical political parties. The avoidance of this term is probably due to an effort to exclude any parochialism.

Of course, Syriac is, technically speaking, hardly anybody's "mother tongue." But also "Modern Aramaic" is, of course, an ambiguous label. Without taking into consideration the spoken varieties, even within the written language one may witness significant developments.

The 19th-century Urmia-based written language has not been without significant changes: itself a literary *koiné* based, as anticipated, upon the language of the Urmia Plain, it was linguistically changed and enriched after the tragic events of the First World War and the massive resettlement of Aramaic speakers in the urban centres of Iraq, leading to the usual phenomena of dialectal blending and leveling. Odisho (2003-2004, 2011) identifies a more recent *koiné* 2, characterized by a strong influence of Northern Iraqi Aramaic varieties, both of the mountain (*Ashirat*) and the Plain of Mosul. Odisho (2011: 64-66) elaborates on the differences between *koiné* 1 and *koiné* 2 and mentions as distinguishing lexical features of the latter its lexical enrichment through Syriac and a shift from Turkish and Persian as a source of loanwords to either Syriac-based neologisms or words used in the Iraqi colloquials.

Of course, here as elsewhere school and purist tendencies go hand in hand. Not surprisingly, according to Odisho (2011: 64) '[E]ven in grammar and syntax, *koiné* 2 is showing more of a tendency of aligning itself with Old Aramaic than *koiné* 1 did.' Examples of such puristic tendencies are of course well known in many minority languages, as well as the frequent correlation of purism with endangerment (cf. Tosco 2008, 2012).

Not much is known about the present development of Modern Assyrian out of the school system. Against any folklorization of the minority language (cf. Tosco 2011), Kloss (1967) stresses that is not so much belletristic literature that can make up a new *Ausbausprache*, but its use in a multiplicity of roles and functions: politics, economy, administration. The scarcity of political documents in Modern Assyrian available in the Net and analyzed in Gasparini (2011), and the parallel wealth of data stemming from Assyrian cultural and political movements and written in Arabic and/or English seem to point to a still insufficient lexical and phraseological development of Modern Assyrian in many fields of modern, "higher" communication.

The following pages are devoted to a critical discussion of a few developments in the political language.

2. *Ausbau* and Modern Assyrian: the data

In Modern Assyrian all the usual mechanisms of language enrichment are found. They can be listed, *grosso modo*, under the rubrics of the *adaptation* of existing material (revitalization), the *elaboration* of new words through native (or, in case, borrowed) morphological machinery (derivation), *calquing*, and *borrowing*.

The linguistic sources for our work come from the Internet, direct sources being hardly available. The interest of these sources stems also from the fact that an Arabic or English version (or, in a few cases, even both) is available (actually, these languages are much more used than in Modern Assyrian in this kind of documents). The comparison with Arabic and/or English makes the special problems faced by the *Ausbauization* of Modern Assyrian all the more evident.

There is an abundance of Assyrian groups playing an active role all around the Net and ranging over a wide variety of subjects, from politics, to culture, to linguistics. This colonization of the web, an incidental effect of the diaspora, has established strong links between Assyrian communities around the world, contributing to strongly cement the nationalist bond connecting them and to keep the traditional culture alive. Assyrian political representation had various ups and downs starting from the American invasion of Iraq. Among the many political parties, the *Assyrian Democratic Movement* is the only one seating in the National Parliament. The first official elections to which Assyrian parties took part were those of 30th January 2005 for the election of the Constituent Assembly, where the ADM representative Yonadam Khanna was the only Christian member; in the legislative elections in Iraqi Kurdistan the Assyrian parties together with the *Kurdish Democratic Patriotic Alliance* won 5 seats (Gasparini 2011: 20).

Drawing mainly on Gasparini (2011), we will analyze the lexical enrichment of Modern Assyrian in the semantic field of politics. Our corpus will consist of two political declarations³ from the *Assyrian Universal Alliance*,⁴

³ Text #1: <http://www.aua.net/News/releases/2010/140.pdf> ('Bētkūlyā Yūnaṭan, AUA Condemns the Barbaric Aggression of Our People in Iraq;' publication date: November 1, 2010; last accessed: October 25, 2011); text #2: http://www.aua.net/congress/27_2010/congress00.htm ('AUA - 27th World Congress;' publication date: December 4, 2010; last accessed: October 23, 2011).

⁴ The *Assyrian Universal Alliance* (Assyrian **هه‌جێد هه‌جێد هه‌جێد** *hūyaḡā tabīliyā aṭūrayā*, Arabic **الاتحاد الاثوري العالمي** *al-ittihād al-ašūrī al-'ālamī*) 'is a leadership council comprised of representatives of Assyrian communities and organizations worldwide. The AUA was established in Pau, France, on April 13, 1968 to become a powerful voice for the Assyrian nation, committing itself to spreading, upholding, enhancing the Assyrian name around the world, and working to secure the sacred human and national rights of the Assyrian people in our homeland and in the Diaspora.

The AUA diligently advocates the Assyrian cause internationally and promotes the aspirations of the Assyrian nation. It urges democratic governments and international bodies to defend the rights of the Assyrian people in their ancestral homeland, Iraq, and to preserve their national identity, culture, heritage, language and religion' (http://aua.net/aua/aua_info.htm).

AUA is not a political party, as it operates in conjunction and in cooperation with national parties. Since 1991 AUA is a member of the UNPO (Unrepresented Nations and Peoples Organization), 'an international, nonviolent and democratic membership organisation' whose 'members are indigenous peoples, minorities, and unrecognised or occupied territories who have joined together to protect and promote their human and cultural rights, to preserve their

and of a commemorative document and a poem⁵ from the *Assyrian General Conference*.⁶ The texts were written between 2008 and 2010.

Verbal morphology is by far the most complex part of the grammar of any Modern Aramaic variety. Semitic basic verbal conjugations ‘were completely forsaken in favour of nominal forms of the verb,’ (Kapeliuk 1996: 278) resulting in a bewildering number of new paradigms. In our texts one finds a clear preference for the Progressive (where a copula follows a Gerund or sometimes an Infinitive) over the Habitual Present (the Subjunctive verbal conjugation – derived from the former Active Participle, with the pattern $C_1\bar{a}C_2(e)C_3$ in verbs of the 1st form – often preceded by a preverb - \bar{q} *k-*). The Progressive forms are used to express the habitual present, too, and we find forms such as ܒܟܠܝܝܐ ܘܝܗܝܝܢ *b-kalyā ūyeh* ‘we are about to finish’ (Text #3) and ܒܝܬܠܒܐ ܝܘܢܝܢ *beṭlabā īwen* ‘we ask’ (Text #1) – with a Gerund form in a progressive meaning, or, still, ܕܝܘܒܐ ܝܘܢܝܢ *ḡarūbā īnā* ‘they keep trying’ (Text #2) – with the Infinitive form of the verb and a habitual value. This is in accordance with the situation found in almost all the present-day spoken varieties with the exception of the most archaic ones – such as Turoyo, Mlahso, Hertevin in Central Neo-Aramaic, and Qaraqosh and the dialect of the most ancient poems from the area of Mosul for NENA (Kim 2008).

An exception is provided by the poetic text, which obviously calls for a more classical register, as free as possible from modern influences (Gasparini 2011), and which sticks therefore to a more “canonical” Modern Assyrian usage in the verbal system: here we duly find such Habitual forms as ܕܟܘܨܝܝܒܐܢܝܢ *k-maqīmī* ‘they honour’ (with the preverb - \bar{q} *k-*) or ܕܢܩܝܪܐ *nqīrā* ‘he is marked’ (both examples from Text #4).

Turning to the lexicon, we find various cases of revitalization in which semantic calquing is applied to material from Classical Syriac:

- ܫܩܘܡܐ *sīqūmā* ‘date’ (Text #2) is a Classical Syriac word meaning ‘era’ (Payne

environments, and to find nonviolent solutions to conflicts which affect them’ (<http://www.unpo.org/section/2>).

⁵ Both texts #3 and #4 accessible at: <http://www.assyriangc.com/martyrs.html> (‘Assyrian General Conference, Assyrian Martyr’s Day,’ publication date: November 30, 2008; last accessed: May 4, 2012).

⁶ The “Assyrian General Conference - AGC, is an Assyrians Iraqi political entity founded on the 7th of August 2005 in Baghdad [...] AGC is build on the ideological foundations derived from historical, political, and humanitarian facts, and the absolute faith in the superiority of the Assyrian cause and legitimate Assyrian rights, above sectarian divisions and differences, therefore belonging to an Assyrian General Conference unencumbered by any church or sectarian affiliation, AGC is the umbrella for everyone believing in his Assyrianism. And the conference believes in Assyrianism as the unifying identity of our people belonging to different denominations and churches, as a unified framework which has carried the banner of national struggle and is the rightful path towards achieving the objectives of the various components of the Assyrians people.” (<http://www.assyriangc.com/english.html>).

Smith 1879: 2720), and ultimately from Greek σήκωμα. The expansion of the semantic field mirrors that of **تاريخ** *tarīḥ* (Maclean 1901: 326), meaning both ‘history’ and ‘date.’ Although this Arabic loan is the usual term to express ‘date,’ its use is avoided here in favour of the Syriac word;

- **ܓܒܒܐ** *gabbā* ‘political party’ (Text #2), from the Classical Syriac root GNB ‘to be on one’s side’ (Brockelmann 1928: 58), follows a process of figurative derivation similar to that of both English and Modern Standard Arabic (henceforth: MSA) – both language possibly playing a role here in influencing Modern Assyrian;
- **ܒܡܐ** *bēmā* ‘forum’ (Text #2) is a Classical Syriac word borrowed from Greek βῆμα ‘pulpit.’ It appears in the Assyrian name of a political party (**ܒܡܐ ܕܡܘܩܪܐܝܐ ܕܠܕܢܐ**, *bēmā dīmūqraṭayā kaldanayā*, *Chaldean Democratic Forum*)⁷ and its use is not attested elsewhere. In Awde *et al.* (2007) one only finds the English loanword ‘forum,’ in an evident effort to get rid of which this Classical Syriac word has been resorted to. The result – implying a non negligible semantic shift – is at best dubious;
- **ܐܘܝܪܐ** *āṭirā* ‘atmosphere’ (in its figurative sense) (Text #2), meaning ‘air’ in Classical Syriac (Payne Smith 1879: 286; cfr. Greek αἶθήρ). Following the same semantic expansion undergone by English ‘air’ and its counterparts in European languages, *āṭirā* has therefore acquired in our texts more or less the meaning of ‘general mood.’ as shown in the following excerpt from Text #3: **ܓܘ ܐܘܝܪܐ ܡܫܝܡܢܐ ܘܘ-ܒܝܢܐܢܐ...ܒܘܝܫܝܐ ܕ-ܫܘܘܬܝܝܢܐ ܘܘ-ܒܫܘܬܝܢܐ ܘܘ-ܕܓܝܒܐܢܐ ܫܘܘܬܝܢܐ** (*gō āṭirā msīmanē wu-banyanē...buyaṣyā d-šawṭefanē wu-bšafti ūtā d-gibanē sagīā w-ānanqāyā*): ‘in a positive and constructive feel...the participants to the debate discussed abundantly about many aspects and important issues’];
- **ܙܘܘܐ** *zūwā* ‘movement’ (in a figurative sense) (Text #2) from the Classical Syriac root ZW ‘to be moving’ (cfr. Maclean 1901: 85 and Oraham 1943: 143), is another case of semantic expansion on the basis of the model of European languages. MSA حركة *ḥaraka* is of course another example.

In a few cases semantic calquing goes together with the use of morphological derivation:

- **ܪܗܝܒܐ** *rahīvā* ‘terrorist’ (text n.2) derives from the Syriac root RHB ‘to be disquieted’ (Oraham 1943: 474) but it is not reported in any dictionary. The same root provides in MSA إرهاب/ي *irḥāb/-ī* ‘terrorism’/‘terrorist.’ The Arabic word is obviously the model for the Modern Assyrian neologism, which nevertheless keeps itself distinct from its Arabic source thanks to the use of native derivational morphemes;
- **ܡܫܬܪܟܢܐ** *mštarkānā* ‘participation’ (Text #2): in Maclean’s dictionary (1901:

⁷ The *Chaldean Democratic Forum* is an Iraqi political party promoting the Chaldean national identity. It was founded in the United States in 2002. The current Secretary General is Dhia Poutros.

204), one only finds the verb *mšarik* ‘to take part,’ while the further derivation from the Arabic 8th form *ištaraka* (with a similar meaning ‘to participate, to take part’) is not to the best of our knowledge attested elsewhere in Modern Assyrian and is the basis of the further nominalization through the native suffix *-ānā* in order to obtain an abstract meaning;

- *ūḥdanūtā* ‘unity’ (Text #3) is an innovation of Modern Assyrian from the Syriac root ʾHD (*hūeyadā* only is reported in Oraham 1943: 159). We find it as an element in the phraseological expression *amlītā d-banītā d-ūḥdanūtā* ‘territorial unity’, made up of *amlītā* ‘action’ + *banītā* ‘construction’ + *ūḥdanūtā* ‘unity.’

We obviously find many loanwords, too, and again mainly from MSA:

- *madū‘ā* ‘issue’ (Text #2) from MSA *mawḏū‘* (Wehr 1971: 1078);
- *umrānā* ‘building’ (Text #1), from MSA *‘umrān* (Wehr 1971: 643);
- *rasīḫā* ‘fixed’ (Text #3), from MSA *rāsīḫ* (Wehr 1971: 341);
- *šammalā* (Text #4): ‘candle’ (Awde *et al.* 2007: 89); one also finds Kurdish *šemal* ‘light’ (Chyet 2002: 573), although we would have expected the use of the common Semitic stem ŠM‘ as represented in *šmū‘ā* ‘candle’ (Brockelmann 1928: 380); cf. also Persian *šam* ‘ (Steingass 1992: 760);
- *baydagā* ‘flag’ (Text #4), from Kurdish *beydaḫ* (Oraham 1943: 50); cf. also Turkish *bayrak*;
- *qawlā* ‘promise’ (Text #4), from MSA *qawl* (McClean 1901: 272).

The dependance on Arabic is even more evident (although less obvious to the speaker) at the phraseological and syntactic levels. Thus, we find in Text #3 a clear sign of Arabic influence in the omission of the relative pronoun *d-* with an indefinite head – itself a typical MSA feature (Holes 2002), but foreign to NENA (Maclean 1889: 23): *ūḥdanūtā d-īrāq pīšlih bnītā il zūdūnī min amlītā d-mpīšratā fūhmītā (d-)mšūrā lihi b-pramā d-similā* ‘the unification of Iraq has been built on an interest for ethnic division which started with the Simele massacre’.

Finally, in Text #3 we have *b-zidqā* ‘in favour of,’ a calque from the MSA expression *bi-ḥaqq*, and made up of the pan-Semitic preposition *b-* and the word *ḥaqq/zidqā* ‘right; justice’ (Oraham 1943: 141). As far as we know, such a use is not attested elsewhere in any Aramaic variety; it can be interpreted only as an instance of influence from MSA, the main communication language for “higher” domains.

3. Conclusions

The speakers of Modern Assyrian live in a heterogeneous bi- and multilingual situation, where different sociolinguistic influences are at work, and where Neo-Aramaic plays but a secondary role (cf. Bausani 1976). This bears many a consequence.

First, and not surprisingly, we find in our texts a few neologisms followed, in brackets, by the corresponding Arabic or Kurdish synonyms or, to the contrary, Classical Syriac in order to explain an Arabic or Kurdish loan:

• **ܐܒܘܢܐ (ܐܘܓܘܣܬܐ)** *āb* (*tebah*): ‘month of ripening of fruits; August’. Both names come from the old Semitic lunisolar calendar, but *ab* is the only one still actively used and part of both the Levant Arabic and the Hebrew calendars. *Tebah* is used nowadays in Kurdish; obviously, its status as an ancient but also essentially a “foreign” word, unknown in Arabic, is a precious asset in the identity-building and culture-reshaping process inherent in the creation of an *Ausbau* language;

• **ܪܫܝܬܐ (ܪܫܝܬܐ)** *rasīḥā* (*mḥawlanā*) ‘fixed:’ the former, a loan from Arabic, is the word in common usage, followed in brackets by a Syriac suggested rendering;

• **ܘܗܕܢܘܬܐ (ܘܗܕܢܘܬܐ)** *ūḥdanūtā* (*atrā*): as anticipated, *ūḥdanūtā* ‘unity’ is an innovation from the Syriac root ʾHD. *Atrā* (actually, ‘place’) clarifies the abstract concept ‘territorial unity’ that *ūḥdanūtā* tries to express.

All this is a clear sign of an incomplete process of standardization and seems to imply a situation where the authors, well aware of the serious lexical gaps still to be filled, are uncertain about their readers’ ability to understand the neologisms.

Second, calquing processes seem also to imply a knowledge of European languages (especially English), and we see this knowledge in the construction of new figurative meanings for Syriac lexemes.

Third, Classical Syriac, though not envisaged as the official medium of the community, seems to resist as a reference point for the lexical and phraseological enrichment of Modern Assyrian, but with strong driving forces towards innovation: on the one hand undeniable influences from Arabic persist, and more, as exemplified above, at the phraseological than at the lexical level. On the other hand, a deliberate intention is evident to “Syriacize” the texts, as witnessed by the productive use of Aramaic inflectional and derivational morphemes with native MSA terms.

The topic has obviously a direct bearing on the lexicon used in the texts: when a religious or classical vocabulary (as in Text #2) is used, the result is a language comparatively easy to follow and understand (both for the foreign scholar, thanks to the help of standard reference works and, we assume, for the educated native speaker). The same applies, *a fortiori*, to Text #4, a poetic

text for which a standard lexicon of reference and an immense stylistic and phraseological wealth is provided by Classical Syriac and by a century-old tradition in the vernacular itself. But where the author has to use a strictly political lexicon, tending to lexical abstraction (as is the case of Texts #1 and #3), there is no available lexicon of reference, and the problem of a still insufficient lexical enrichment makes itself sadly felt in all its gravity. As in all those minority and endangered languages which are on their way from sociolinguistic dialects to full-fledged means of expression (cf. Tosco 2008, 2012), we suspect that native speakers are still unable to pass from the use of a dialect to that of a standardized national language: our texts, so to speak, show them discussing and searching for a shared vocabulary and identity – certainly strong in its nationalist expression but also, by necessity, constantly renegotiated with the strong cultures around and their linguistic means of expression (Gasparini 2011).

Any *Ausbausprache* is to a good extent artificial, and in the end its acceptance and success lie on the community of speakers itself. In Odisho's (2011: 67) words, '[T]he significance of the recent surge lies in the fact that raising a new generation of young literates immersed in Aramaic [...] will prolong the life of the language at least up to two future generations,' and this process is a natural result of the growing debate within the Assyrian community on the creation of a stronger ethnic identity through a renewed interest in the Assyrian culture, history and language. What is true of any revitalization process applies to the future of the Modern Assyrian surge as well: it will strictly depend on socio-political factors; in our case, of paramount importance will of course be the future of the minorities amidst the unstable political situation of contemporary Iraq and the whole Middle East.

References

- Awde, Nicholas, Nineb Lamassu, and Nicholas Al-Jeloo (2007). *Aramaic (Assyrian/Syriac) Dictionary and Phrasebook*. New York: Hippocrene Books.
- Bausani, Alessandro (1976). Tradizione e Innovazione Nelle Lingue Dei Nuovi Paesi Asiatici. In: Renato Corsetti (ed.), *Lingua e Politica*. Roma: Officina: 25-42.
- Brockelmann, Carl (1928). *Lexicon Syriacum*. Berlin: T&T Clark, Reuther & Reichard.
- Chyet, Michael L. (2002). *Kurdish-English Dictionary: Ferhenga Kurmanji-Inglizi*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- DeKelaita, Robert (2009). *The Origins and Development of Assyrian Nationalism*. Chicago: Assyrian International News Agency.
- Fishman, Joshua A. (1988). Language spread and language policy for endangered languages. In: Peter H. Lowenberg (ed.), *Language Spread and Language Policy: Issues, Implications, and Case Studies. Proceedings of the Georgetown University Round Table on Languages and Linguistics 1987*. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press: 1-15.
- __ (1991). *Reversing Language Shift*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.

- Gasparini, Fabio (2011). *L'identità linguistica assira in Iraq: tradizione e lessico politico*. Turin: Unpublished BA Thesis.
- Heinrichs, Wolfgang (1993). The Modern Assyrians: Name and Nation. In: Riccardo Contini, Fabrizio A. Pennacchietti and Mauro Tosco (eds.), *Serta Semitica et Philologica Constantino G. Tsereteli dicata*. Torino: Silvio Zamorani: 99-114.
- Holes, Clive D. (2002). *Modern Arabic: Structures, Functions, and Varieties*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Kapeliuk, Olga (1996). The Gerund and Gerundial Participle in Eastern Neo-Aramaic. *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung* 51/3: 276-288 [reprinted in: Olga Kapeliuk (2009). *Selected Papers in Ethiosemitic and Neo-Aramaic Linguistics*. Jerusalem: The Hebrew University Magnes Press: 483-495].
- Khosoreva, Anahit (2007). The Assyrian Genocide in the Ottoman Empire and Adjacent Territories. In: Richard G. Hovannisian (ed.), *The Armenian Genocide: Cultural and Ethical Legacies*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers: 267-274.
- Kim, Ronald (2008). Stammbaum or Continuum? The Subgrouping of Modern Dialects Reconsidered. *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 128/3: 505-532.
- Kiraz, George A. (2011). Kthobonoyo Syriac: Some observations and remarks. *Journal of Syriac Studies* 10/2: 129-142.
- Kloss, Heinz (1967). Abstand languages and Ausbau languages. *Anthropological Linguistics* 9/7: 29-41.
- Krauss, Michael (2007). Classification and Terminology for Degrees of Language Endangerment. In: Matthias Brenzinger (ed.), *Language Diversity Endangered*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter: 1-8.
- Macleon, Arthur J. (1889). *Grammar of the Dialects of Vernacular Syriac*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1901). *Dictionary of the Dialects of Vernacular Syriac: As Spoken by the Eastern Syrians of Kurdistan*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Moseley, Christopher (ed.) (2010). *Atlas of the World's Languages in Danger, 3rd Edition*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing (online version: <http://www.unesco.org/culture/languages-atlas/>).
- Murre-van den Berg, Heleen L. (1998). A Syrian Awakening: Alqosh and Urmia as Centers of Neo-Syriac Writing. In: René Lavenant, S.J. (ed.), *Symposium Syriacum VII: Uppsala University, Department of Asian and African Languages, 11-14 August 1996*. Roma: Pontificio Istituto Orientale: 499-515.
- Odisho, Robert Y. (2003-2004). The Second *Koiné* of Modern Syriac (Aramaic). *Mediterranean Language Review* 15: 48-62.
- (2011). 1992-2010: A Unique Window for the Revitalization, Linguistic Leveling and Maintenance of Aramaic. *The Journal of the Canadian Society for Syriac Studies* 11: 56-69.
- Oraham, Alexander J. (1943). *Oraham's Dictionary of the Stabilized and Enriched Assyrian Languages*. Chicago: Consolidated Press (Assyrian Press of America).
- Owens, Jonathan (2007). Endangered Languages of the Middle East. In: Matthias Brenzinger (ed.), *Language Diversity Endangered*. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter: 263-277.

- Parpola, Simo (2004). Assyrian Identity in Ancient Times and Today: National and Ethnic Identity in the Neo-Assyrian Empire and Assyrian Identity in Post-Empire Times. *Journal of Assyrian Academic Studies* 18/2: 5-49.
- Payne Smith, Robert (1879). *Thesaurus Syriacus*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Savà, Graziano and Mauro Tosco (2006). La mort des langues en domaine chamito-sémitique. *Faits de Langues* 27: 279-290.
- Steingass, Francis Joseph (1992). *A Comprehensive Persian-English dictionary, including the Arabic Words and Phrases to Be Met with in Persian Literature, Being, Johnson and Richardson's Persian, Arabic, and English Dictionary, Revised, Enlarged, and Entirely Reconstructed*. London: Lockwood & Son.
- Tosco, Mauro (2008). Introduction: Ausbau is everywhere! In: Mauro Tosco (ed.), "Ausbau and Abstand Languages: Traditional and New Approaches." *International Journal of the Sociology of Language* 191: 1-16.
- (2011). Between endangerment and Ausbau. In: Emanuele Miola and Paolo Ramat (eds.), *Language Contact and Language Decay: Socio-political and linguistic perspectives*. Pavia: IUSS Press: 227-246 (maps: 284-285).
- (2012). Swinging back the pendulum: French morphology and de-Italianization in Piedmontese. In: Martine Vanhove, Thomas Stolz, Aina Urdze and Hitomi Otsuka (eds.), *Morphologies in contact*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag: 247-262.
- Wehr, Hans (1971). *A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic* (edited by J Milton Cowan). Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.